# STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE <br> Inter-Department Communication 

DATE: August 13, 2010
AT (OFFICE):
FROM: Randy Knepper, Director, Safety RSi Kate Bailey, Director, Telecoms $\$ M \beta$

SUBJECT: Staff Recommendation in Docket No. DT 10-010
TO: Commission
Executive Director


As follow-up to Staff's previous memos in this proceeding, Staff worked with BayRing, Comcast and Unitil to insure the existing Comcast attachment across the Merrimack River, in Concord, NH, becomes compliant with the National Electrical Safety Code (NESC) concurrently with the attachment proposed by BayRing in this docket. In order to satisfy all parties and bring its existing attachment into compliance, Comcast will raise its attachment on the north side of the Merrimack River on CECO pole 51 by approximately 6 feet. BayRing will overlash its attachment onto the Comcast facilities.

Unitil calculated the appropriate tensioning using the strand size, type, diameter and weight of each of the Comcast and BayRing cables and confirmed the proposed crossing would comply with the NESC. Staff notes Unitil's extraordinary assistance in this matter and points out that without Unitil's assistance, resolution of the issues raised would not have been achieved as expeditiously.

The following revisions are noted updates of Staff's previous memos and include details that are not included in the final, revised petition (see Attachments 1 and 2) but are necessary for a complete record.

1. FairPoint Communications was incorrectly identified in the first revised petition filed with the Commission on April 1, 2010, as attached to CE Pole 50 and CE Pole 51. The existing facility attached to the poles in question is an alarm cable operated by the City of Concord that transitions to under water from aerial at CE Pole 50, crosses the floor of the Merrimack River via conduit and transitions to aerial cable at CE Pole 51.
2. Comcast of Maine/New Hampshire, Inc. (Comcast) identified its existing aerial facilities as the following:

- 96 F Fiber Optic ( 96 count) 0.56 inch diameter cable (weight $0.098 \# / \mathrm{ft}$ )
- 240 F Fiber Optic ( 240 count) 0.76 inch diameter cable (weight $0.163 \# / f t$ )
- 240 F Fiber Optic ( 240 count) 0.76 inch diameter cable (weight $0.163 \# / f t$ )
- Abandoned Coax Cable 0.75 inch diameter (weight $0.08 \# / f t)$
- 240 F Fiber Optic ( 240 count) 0.76 inch diameter cable(weight $0.163 \# / f t$ )
- 0.375 inch diameter galvanized steel stranded support wire (assumed high strength ) (weight 0.273\#/ft)

3. Comcast's facilities were originally installed between the years 1968 and 1972 by the former Telecable (cable franchise holder within the City of Concord).
4. Comcast has agreed to petition the PUC for a license covering the same crossing of the Merrimack River in Concord once its facilities are physically moved; the Comcast license petition will reference many of the drawings and record within this petition.
5. BayRing submitted Attachment 1 to Staff on July 29, 2010.
6. BayRing submitted a revised Attachment 2 to Staff on August 9, 2010.
7. Pole Loadings were recalculated assuming a conservative scenario in which the tensioning of the attached cables was transferred to the anchors and referenced guying. Staff found this to be adequate to satisfy potential concerns.
8. Staff reviewed Attachment 2 for compliance with all NESC requirements, including loading, clearances and materials and found Attachment 2 sufficient in detail to provide sufficient safeguards of potential hazards for the public.
.Staff recommends this crossing be approved.

## Attachments:

- Attachment 1 - BayRing Final, Revised Petition Drawings (August 9, 2010)
- Attachment 2 - BayRing Second, Revised Petition (July 29, 2010)


## ATTACHMENT 1

Final Revised Petition Drawings (August 9, 2010)
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## ATTACHMENT 2

Second Revised Petition (July 29, 2010)

# BayRing <br> COMMUNICATIONS 

July 29, 2010
Debra Howland, Executive Director
New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission
21 South Fruit Street, Suite 10
Concord, NH 03301-2429

Re: Revised Petition of Freedom Ring d/b/a BayRing Communications to construct and maintain utility cable over and across the Public Waters of the Merrimack River between Utility Pole CECO 51 and Utility Pole CECO 50, Concord, New Hampshire.

Dear Ms Howland:
Please find a final revised Petition of Freedom Ring Communications $\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{b} / \mathrm{a} /$ BayRing Communications to construct and maintain utility cable over and across the Public Waters of the Merrimack River between Utility Pole CECO 51 and Utility Pole CECO 50, Concord, New Hampshire.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter, please do not hesitate to contact me with any questions or further requirements.

Respectfully Submitted,

Wendy C. Wilusz
Director of Operations
BayRing Communications

# Engineering • Consulting。Field Services 

One Charlesview Road • Hopedale, MA 01747 - Phone: 508.634.5300 - Fax: 508.634.5400

Donny Pellitier
July 29, 2010
Outside Plant Manager
Bayring Communication
359 Corporate Drive
Portsmith NH, 03801-2888
Subject: Revised, Merrimack River Crossing with Comcast/Bayring Cable

Dear Mr. Pellitier,

Attached are the revised Merrimack River crossing drawings and pole loading calculations. This revision utilizes existing poles with BayRing lashing on the existing Comcast cable. The attachment height of the combined cable is being raised six feet on pole 51 . The pole loading calculations were performed using Power Line Technology, Pole Foreman software version 3.4.10.

The revised crossing provides meets the clearances required by the National Electric Safety Code (NESC). Clearance at each of the structures and midspan clearances between the electric supply cables and communication meet or exceed the NESC requirements. Additionally, the combination Comcast/BayRing cable assembly maintains over 14 feet of clearance over the 10 year flood level under extreme loading conditions.

Pole loading models were created for both poles with only cable spanning the river (no back spans). The loading for both poles is within design limits. An additional electric deaden guy is required to support the river crossing for the modeled conditon. Additionally, a separate communication anchor with a 20 ' lead is required for the modeled condition.

Should you have any questions, or require additional information, please do not hesitate to call me.





## PoleForeman - Pole Loading Analysis Report

License: Consulting Engineers Group


PoleForeman - Pole Loading Analysis Report
License: Consulting Engineers Group


## POLE LINE TOPOLOGY



## PoleForeman - Pole Loading Analysis Report

License: Consulting Engineers Group


FILE NOTES

Loading for Pole 50 acceptable as is,
Pole modeled by adding one new guy to at attachment height of $13^{n}$ from top of pole
to exisTing anchor. Requires one (1) new guys strand.

A separate communication anchor is required with a minimum lead to height ratio
of 2:3 (19) for Communication cable guying

Software did not have $3 / 0$ AAC cable, therefore to be conservative $4 / 0 \mathrm{AAC}$ modeled.

